

Memo 4C-3/345

To: Distribution

From: M. Lammer

Subject: CINDA Manual update

Apart from minor corrections I have also made some more substantial changes to the CINDA manual, which are included here for information.

There was an action on me (no. 16 in Memo CP-D/200) to check the manual and formulate changes which were decided during the last NRDC meetings, some of which were the subject of CP- and 4C- memos. The next page gives a summary of these items and some comments on other major changes with reference to the pages concerned. Attached to this are copies of manual pages to be revised with the corrections indicated (pages with minor corrections were sent to NEA-DB only).

Changes decided at NRDC meetings

The manual pages concerned are indicated, and the corresponding action is identified by A.x or B.x, where

A = Memo CP-D/190 (NRDC meeting 1988)

B = Memo CP-D/200 (NRDC meeting 1989)

x = action number

E-MIN = / for quantity LDL (A.2): pages II.2.23 and II.9.3

E-MIN=blank is forbidden (A.3): page II.9.1

Revision of the manual entry on conferences (A.4, B.20 and action to resolve the contradiction about conference proceedings published as as laboratory report): II.10.3 and II.10.4

Quantity NX (A.5): pages II.2.1, II.2.3, II.2.21 and II.11.2
(still not solved: where to include NX on page II.2.3 ?)

Header record for CINDA transmissions (A.8): page II.13.1

Comments to some other major changes

Generally there are sufficient comments to the corrections on the copies of the manual pages. Some additional comments are given below.

Neutron as target should be included in the manual (Memo 4C-3/318, page 3, item 4.1): the list following page II.1.1, pages II.1.2 and II.1.3.

Combinations of energy codes (Memo 4C-3/342): pages I.1.4, II.9.1, II.9.4 and II.9.5

Page II.6.4: The definitions of the "KEY" must be consistent.

Instructions for operation 'K', columns 19-26: NNDC and NEA-DB should check the validity of this restriction (see also page II.6.5)

Page II.7.2: the revision reflects what the NDS book production program does.

Page II.11.2: The information that, if relevant, must be given in the comments field, should be separated from the list of abbreviations, as reflected in the proposed changes.

Page II.12.1 (copy not included): is there still a common coverage control file? If not, the whole section should be omitted!